

Port of Tacoma Request for Proposal # 72191
Addendum # 3

Date 4/25/16

The following is additional information regarding **RFP 72191 Vehicle Wait Time Awareness System Pilot Project** released on 4/7/2016. **The due date and time for responses remains as 5/5/2016 @ 4:00PM PST.** This addendum includes both questions from prospective bidders and the Port's answers, and revisions to the RFP. This addendum is made part of the RFP and therefore, the information contained will be considered when preparing and submitting a bid.

Item #	Date Received	Date Answered	Vendor's Question	Port's Answer	RFP Revisions
1	4/12/2016	4/12/2016	RFID Components and hardware – Are we assuming that they are installed already and out of scope or are these components part of the effort and overall budget?	Only Port of Seattle terminals have RFID infrastructure installed.	
2	4/12/2016	4/12/2016	Is this pilot going to be focused on a limited number of trucks/trucking companies or is it port wide?	The larger the sample size the more accurate the data will be. We would like to open it up to as many trucking companies, trucks as possible.	
3	4/12/2016	4/12/2016	Is the port simply looking to identify the number of trucks in a queue or are we looking to identify the actual trucks, as well?	Both, but the main focus is the time it take for a truck to pass through the queue.	
4	4/12/2016	4/15/2016	As a follow up to question #3, if the effort includes identifying the actual truck, what is the data source for the truck/RFID tag association? If it is the truck registry, is this data source a prerequisite for anyone who is participating in the RFP?	No means for specifying individual trucks was specified in the RFP. The Truck Registry as a data source is not a pre-requisite for anyone participating in the RFP.	
5	4/25/2016	4/25/2016	We respectfully requests clarification of the proposal submission requirements for the subject RFP		RFP 72191 Section D, RFP Elements & Evaluation Criteria, page 7 second paragraph is revised as follows:

Port of Tacoma Request for Proposal # 72191
Addendum # 3

Date 4/25/16

		<p>regarding the compensation information described under Section D of the RFP starting on page 7. Specifically there appears to be an inconsistency in the instructions regarding the treatment of compensation information between the areas shown in bold, underlined and highlighted text, shown below.</p> <p>D. RFP ELEMENTS & EVALUATION CRITERIA:</p> <p>Proposals should present information in a straightforward and concise manner, while ensuring complete and detailed descriptions of the firm's/team's abilities to meet the requirement of this RFP. Emphasis will be on completeness of content. <u>The written proposals should be prepared in the sequential order as outlined below.</u></p> <p>Proposals are limited to 40 numbered pages (8 1/2 by 11 inch) including the cover letter and any submitted appendices, <u>but excluding references and compensation information which are to be submitted separately.</u> All pages shall be in portrait orientation</p>		<p>Proposals are limited to 40 numbered pages (8 1/2 by 11 inch) including the cover letter and any submitted appendices, <u>but excluding references and compensation information which are to be submitted separately.</u> All pages shall be in portrait orientation with 1 inch margins. Font size shall be 11 point or larger. Proposals that do not follow this format will not be reviewed.</p>
--	--	--	--	--

Port of Tacoma Request for Proposal # 72191
Addendum # 3

Date 4/25/16

		<p>with 1 inch margins. Font size shall be 11 point or larger. Proposals that do not follow this format will not be reviewed.</p> <p>Further down on the page, the text outlines the sequential order by which proposals formats are to adhere to, specifying the six (6) topics areas to be addressed subsequent to a Cover Letter, as follows in sequential criteria order;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Qualifications and Experience2. System3. Work Approach4. Compensation5. Development Approach Narrative6. Project Development Schedule <p>Because of the exclusion of compensation information within the 40 numbered pages and the requirement to address compensation as Criteria Item #4 in sequential order, we respectfully ask the Port of Tacoma to clarify if they would like proposers to merely identify Criteria # 4 Compensation in the sequence order prescribed and leave blank, pointing the reader to a separate appendices</p>	
--	--	---	--

Port of Tacoma Request for Proposal # 72191
Addendum # 3

Date 4/25/16

			document, where then Criteria # 4 Compensation details can be submitted and not be factored in the 40 page maximum count limitation?		
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					